UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/512,061	10/21/2004	Haitao Tang	47092.00101	8579
32294 7590 05/24/2007 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.		EXAMINER		
14TH FLOOR			BRANDT, CHRISTOPHER M	
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, ···		2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	·		05/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/512,061	TANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher M. Brandt	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH	(S) OD THIRTY (30) DAVS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions of the provisions of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiruit apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 O	<u>ctober 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 25-48 is/are pending in the application	١.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-48</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 October 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	, ,,,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∌d.				
		• •				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/512,061

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 USC 110(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the application file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement submitted on October 21, 2004 has been considered by the examiner and made of record in the application file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/512,061 Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 25, 28-40, 42-44, and 46-47 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being anticipated by Cidon et al. (Control Mechanisms for High Speed Networks, hereinafter Cidon) in view of Yum et al. (Multicast Source Routing in Packet-Switched Networks, hereinafter Yum).

Consider **claim 25**. Cidon discloses a method of distributing a network parameter information among network nodes (A-E) of a radio access network, said method comprising the steps of:

- a) determining based on a topology information of said radio access network a spanning tree of routing paths corresponding to the shortest paths from said network node to other nodes (301.1.5 lines 1-13, read as in the topology data base, it is possible to estimate the expected packet loss which is the primary parameter in determining acceptability of a link. Among the subset of acceptable links, a minimum hop path is chosen);
- b) detecting a network parameter change in a network node of said transmission network (305.1.5 lines 37-42, read as the nodes execute a distributed tree maintenance protocol in order to construct this tree and maintain topology changes); and
- c) distributing said network parameter information indicating said network parameter change from said network node to said other nodes in accordance with said spanning tree (301.1.5 lines 42-46, read as when a node wishes to broadcast a topology update message, it gives it the right header and transmits it all its neighbors on the topology spanning tree),

d) wherein said network node updates for each of its offspring nodes a respective updating information and sends said respective updating information to all offspring nodes (301.1.5 lines 48-50, read as every node will receive every message once, over one of its tree links. Therefore, the updating information was generated in order for the node to send the message).

Although, Cidon disclosed the claimed invention, he failed to explicitly state the generation of updating information.

However, Yum discloses generation of updating information (page 1284 column 2 lines 13-27, read as all routing information is assembled at the source node and put into the packet header).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Yum into the invention of Cidon in order to progress the packet toward it destination (page 1284, column 2 lines 13-27).

Consider claim 42. Cidon discloses a network node for distributing a network parameter information to other network nodes of a transmission network, said network node being arranged to detect a change in a network parameter related to said network node (305.1.5 lines 37-42, 301.1.5 lines 42-46, read as the nodes execute a distributed tree maintenance protocol in order to construct this tree and maintain topology changes), and to distribute said network parameter information indicating said network parameter change towards said other network nodes in response to said detection and in accordance with a spanning tree of routing paths corresponding to the shortest paths from said network node to said other nodes (301.1.5 lines 1-13, read as in the topology data base, it is possible to estimate the expected packet loss which is the primary

parameter in determining acceptability of a link. Among the subset of acceptable links, a minimum hop path is chosen. When a node wishes to broadcast a topology update message, it gives it the right header and transmits it all its neighbors on the topology spanning tree), wherein said network node updates for each of its offspring nodes a respective updating information and sends said respective updating information to all offspring nodes (301.1.5 lines 48-50, read as every node will receive every message once, over one of its tree links, and will forward it to the other tree links. Therefore, the updating information was generated in order for the nodes to send and receive the message).

Although, Cidon disclosed the claimed invention, he failed to explicitly state the generation of updating information.

However, Yum discloses generation of updating information (page 1284 column 2 lines 13-27, read as all routing information is assembled at the source node and put into the packet header).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Yum into the invention of Cidon in order to progress the packet toward it destination (page 1284, column 2 lines 13-27).

Consider claim 46. Cidon discloses a network node for distributing a network parameter information to other network nodes of a radio access network, said network node being arranged to receive a network parameter information from an upper node, to update a stored parameter information according to said received network parameter information, and to distribute said network parameter information to its offspring network nodes based on a updating information included in said network parameter information, said update information being derived from a

Art Unit: 2617

spanning tree routing topology, wherein said network node is arranged to update said update information in said network parameter information before distributing said network parameter information to said other nodes (301.1.5 lines 37-50, read as the node executes a distributed tree maintenance protocol in order to construct this tree and maintain it despite topology changes in the network. When a node wishes to broadcast a topology update message, it gives it the right header and transmits it all its neighbors on the topology spanning tree. If a broadcast packet arrives over a tree link, it is forwarded over the other tree links. Every node will receive every message once, over one of its tree links, and will forward it to the other tree links).

Cidon discloses the claimed invention except he fails to disclose branching information (Cidon discloses updating information).

However, Yum discloses branching information (page 1285 column 2 lines 41-43, read as in Branch-by-Branch coding, the address tree is broken up into branches at the root node and the codes for each branch follows one another).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Yum into the invention of Cidon in order to multicast packets from a source node to a set of destination nodes via a set of intermediate nodes (page 1285 column 2 lines 30-39).

Consider claim 28 and as applied to claim 25. Cidon and Yum disclose wherein said network parameter information relates to a QoS-related parameter (301.1.1, column 1 lines 36-40).

Application/Control Number: 10/512,061

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 29 and as applied to claim 28. Cidon and Yum disclose wherein said network parameter information comprises at least one of a link state, a link utilization, a node utilization, and a macro diversity combining load (301.1.5 column 1 lines 1-13).

Consider claim 30 and as applied to claim 25. Cidon and Yum further disclose the step of deriving said topology information from at least one routing table (301.1.3 column 2 lines 30-37).

Consider claim 31 and as applied to claim 30. Cidon and Yum disclose wherein one routing table is provided for each network node (301.1.3 column 2 lines 30-37).

Consider claim 32 and as applied to claim 31. Cidon and Yum disclose wherein said one routing table provides a branch information for each offspring node of said network node (page 1285 line 30 – page 1286 line 10).

Consider claim 33 and as applied to claim 32. Cidon and Yum disclose wherein said branch information indicates branches of the concerned offspring node (page 1285 line 30 – page 1286 line 10).

Consider claim 34 and as applied to claim 25. Cidon and Yum further disclose the step of deriving said topology information from a link state database of a routing protocol of said transmission network (301.1.3 column 2 lines 30-37).

Consider claim 35 and as applied to claim 25. Cidon and Yum further disclose the step of obtaining said topology information by running a flooding scheme and a shortest-path-first algorithm (301.1.5 column 1 lines 1-35).

Application/Control Number: 10/512,061

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 36 and as applied to claim 25. Cidon and Yum further disclose the step of deciding on those parameters to be included in said network parameter information based on said topology information (301.1.3 column 2 lines 37-56).

Consider claim 37 and as applied to claim 25. Cidon and Yum disclose wherein said network parameter information comprises said updating information sent to each offspring node (301.1.3 column 2 lines 37-56).

Consider claim 38 and as applied to claim 37. Cidon and Yum disclose wherein said updating information comprises a branch information, a parameter update information and a node identification of the network node at which said network parameter change has occurred (page 1285 line 30 – page 1286 line 10).

Consider claim 39 and as applied to claim 37. Cidon and Yum disclose further comprising the step of distributing a received updating information from an offspring node of said network node to an offspring node of said offspring node based on said branch information (page 1285 line 30 – page 1286 line 10).

Consider claim 40 and as applied to claim 37. Cidon and Yum disclose further comprising the step of updating a parameter information stored at said offspring node using said updating information (page 1285 line 30 – page 1286 line 10).

Consider claim 43 and as applied to claim 42. Cidon and Yum disclose wherein said spanning tree is derived from a topology information of said transmission network (301.1.3 column 2 lines 30-37).

Consider claim 44 and as applied to claim 43. Cidon and Yum disclose wherein said network is arranged to decide on those parameters to be included in said network parameter information based on said topology information (301.1.3 column 2 lines 37-56).

Consider claim 47 and as applied to claim 46. Cidon and Yum disclose wherein said other nodes are offspring nodes of said network node (page 1285 line 30 – page 1286 line 10).

Claims 26-27, 41, 45, and 48 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being anticipated by Cidon et al. (Control Mechanisms for High Speed Networks, hereinafter Cidon) in view of Yum et al. (Multicast Source Routing in Packet-Switched Networks, hereinafter Yum) and further in view of Neumiller et al. (WO 00/70782, hereinafter Neumiller).

Consider claim 26 and as applied to claim 25. Cidon and Yum disclose the claimed invention except wherein said network parameter information is used in a network operation and management procedure in a radio access network.

However, Neumiller discloses wherein said network parameter information is used in a network operation and management procedure in a radio access network (page 1 line 13 – page 2 line 10, read as wireless communication systems).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Neumiller into the inventions of Cidon and Yum in order to simultaneously handle a call by two different base stations if a remote unit moves within a particular area (page 1 lines 13-33).

Consider claim 27 and as applied to claim 26. Cidon, Yum, and Neumiller disclose wherein said network operation and management procedure is an MDC point selection procedure (page 9 line 34 – page 10 line 28).

Consider **claim 41** and as applied to claim 25. Cidon and Yum disclose the claimed invention except wherein said transmission network is a radio access network based on internet protocol technology.

However, Neumiller discloses wherein said transmission network is a radio access network based on internet protocol technology (page 6 lines 12-26, read as all frames transmitted to switch is done so via a packet protocol such as Internet Protocol (IP).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Neumiller into the inventions of Cidon and Yum in order to allow for the delivery of significantly more content and functionality.

Consider claims 45 and 48 and as applied to claims 42 and 46. Cidon and Yum disclose wherein said network node is a base station device of a radio access network.

However, Neumiller discloses wherein said network node is a base station device of a radio access network (page 1 line 13 – page 2 line 10, read as wireless communication systems).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Neumiller into the inventions of Cidon and Yum in order to simultaneously handle a call by two different base stations if a remote unit moves within a particular area (page 1 lines 13-33).

Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Brandt whose telephone number is (571) 270-1098. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30a.m. to 5p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on (571) 272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Christopher M. Brandt

C.M.B./cmb

May 14, 2007

NICK CORSARO XAMINER
NICK CORSARO EXAMINER
RICK CORSARO EXAMINER